



Today's Daf In Review is being sent l'zecher nishmas Habachur Yechezkel Shraga A"H ben R' Avrohom Yehuda

### Zevachim Daf Ayin Gimmel

- The Gemara had asked why the assur animal in our Mishna does not become batul among the many mutar animals. The Gemara answered that the Mishna follows the view of the Tanna (**R' Yehuda in the name of R' Yehoshua**) of a Braisa who says that since figs are *sometimes* sold by the unit, they do not become batul even for a D'Rabanan, and certainly not for a D'Oraisa.
  - **R' Ashi** said, our Mishna can even follow the **Rabanan** (who hold that there are only 6 things that don't become batul). The reason why the animals don't become batul is because they are live beings and as such are considered to be especially significant and do not become batul.
- **Q:** Why don't we go to the mixture of animals and take them one by one and bring them as korbanos, based on the principle that whatever is separated from a mixture is considered to be from the majority ("kol d'parish meiruba parish")? **A:** The assur animal is in a fixed place, and we have another principle that "kol kavu'ah k'mechtza ahl mechta dami" (it is considered to be 50/50). Therefore, removing one animal at a time will not be a solution.
  - **Q:** We should make all the animals move so that they are no longer considered to be "kavu'ah" ("in its place") and we will therefore follow the first principle!? **A: Rava** said, we would not do this, as a gezeira for a case where, for example, 10 Kohanim will come at the same time and offer the 10 animals that were taken one-by-one (and make up the majority of the mixture). In that case, since the majority is being offered together we must again be concerned that the assur animal is in this majority.
    - **Q:** One of the Rabanan said to **Rava**, once each animal was separated, they become fully valid korbanos! You can't say that if they were later offered at the same time they would again become assur!? **A:** The gezeira is for a case when 10 Kohanim come at the same time and *take* the animals from the mixture at the same time. In that case, since the majority is being taken at the same time we must again be concerned that the assur animal is in this majority.
      - **Q:** Is it possible for 10 Kohanim to each take an animal at exactly the same time, which would lead to this concern!? **A:** Rather, **Rava** said, the gezeira is that we are concerned that someone would take an animal from the mixture while the animals were still in their place, before they were moved. In that case we would have to follow the second principle, and it would be considered 50/50.
- **Rava** said, now that the **Rabanan** have said that we may not offer any animals from this mixture, if someone does offer an animal from this mixture, the korbon would not be effective.
  - **Q: R' Huna bar Yehuda** asked **Rava**, a Mishna says, if an olah bird and a chatas bird became mixed up, even if it was one in 1,000 of the other, they must be left to die. The Mishna says, this is what we would tell a Kohen who asked what to do. However, if a Kohen went and offered all the birds above the line (and there were an equal amount of olos and chata'os), or if he offered them all below the line, then half would be valid and half would be passul. If he offered half above and half below they would all be passul. Now, we see from here that even though he was not supposed to offer these birds, if he did they are valid b'dieved!? **A: Rava** is following the Tanna who holds that living things can become permanently rejected from the Mizbe'ach. This Mishna regarding the birds is following the view that living things cannot become permanently rejected from the Mizbe'ach.
    - **Q:** In the case of animals which have been shechted, all would agree that they can become permanently rejected, and yet a Mishna says that **R' Eliezer** says, if a passul head of an olah animals was mixed among other heads of olos and one

of the heads was then offered on the Mizbe'ach, the remaining heads may then be offered (we assume the passul one was the one that was offered first). **Rava** should say the same thing and the korbanos should not be permanently rejected!? **A: R' Eliezer** was following the view of **Chanan Hamitzri**, who holds that nothing, not even an animal that was shechted, becomes permanently rejected.