



Today's Daf In Review is being sent l'zecher nishmas Habachur Yechezkel Shraga A"H ben R' Avrohom Yehuda

Zevachim Daf Ayin Beis

- **Q:** Why does our Mishna have to teach that the assur animal makes the entire mixture assur even for private use? Another Mishna already teaches that yayin nesech and avoda zara are assur and make an entire mixture assur even if present in a minute amount!? **A:** If we only had that Mishna we would have thought that it becomes assur for individual use, but for hekdeshe it should not be assur and thereby cause a loss to hekdeshe. If we only had our Mishna we would think to say that it only is not batul when the assur item is mixed with kodashim, because it is considered to be disgusting for the kodashim. However, when the mixture is with chullin, we would have thought to say that the assur items do become batul.
- **Q:** Why don't we say that the assur animal becomes batul in the majority of the mutar animals? If you will say it is because they are chashuv and therefore don't become batul, that only works according to the view that something which is *typically* sold by count does not become batul – which is the way **Reish Lakish** explains the view of **R' Meir** in a Mishna, but according to the view that only things that are *always* counted don't become batul - which is the way **R' Yochanan** explains the view of **R' Meir** in a Mishna, why wouldn't the animals become batul (animals are not always sold by count)!? **A:** **R' Pappa** said, the Tanna of the Mishna, who holds that animals do not become batul, is the Tanna of a Braisa regarding a litra of dried figs, who says that if something is even sometimes sold by number, it does not become batul even D'Rabanan, and certainly will not become batel D'Oraisa.