



Today's Daf In Review is being sent l'zecher nishmas Habachur Yechezkel Shraga A"H ben R' Avrohom Yehuda

### Bava Basra Daf Kuf Tes Zayin

- **R' Yochanan in the name of R' Shimon ben Yochai** said, if a person does not leave over a son, Hashem is full of anger towards him. This can be learned from the pasuk's use of the word "v'havartem" regarding inheritance, and use of a similar word of "evra" to denote anger.
- A pasuk says, "asher ein chalifos lamo v'lo yaru Elokim" (understood to mean that someone who dies without leaving a replacement, must not properly fear Hashem). **R' Yochanan and R' Yehoshua ben Levi** argue – one says this refers to someone who doesn't leave over a son, and one says this refers to someone who doesn't leave over a talmid.
  - It must be that **R' Yochanan** is the one who holds this refers to a talmid, because **R' Yochanan** would comfort aveilem by telling them that he buried all 10 of his sons. If this would mean that he lacked fear of Hashem, he would not have gone around telling people that.
    - **Q:** This would mean that **R' Yehoshua ben Levi** held that it refers to one who leaves the world without a son. However, we find that **R' Yehoshua ben Levi** would not stop learning to be Menachem avel anybody, except for one who died without a son, which shows that he held such people were tzaddikim, and so he can't be the one who says that dying without a son shows lack of fear of Hashem!? **A:** It must be that he is the one who explained the pasuk to be referring to one who dies without leaving talmidim.
    - **Q:** If so, **R' Yochanan** explained the pasuk as referring to one who dies without a son, so why would he go around publicizing that he buried his 10 sons? **A:** He himself held like **R' Yehoshua ben Levi**. When he said it was referring to a son, he was stating the view of his rebbi.
- The pasuk refers to Dovid's death as "shechiva" and to Yoav's death as "misah". **R' Pinchas ben Chama** darshened, that Dovid left over sons, so his death is termed "shechiva". Yoav did not leave over sons, so his death is termed "misah".
  - **Q:** A pasuk says that Ovadya ben Yechiel was from the children of Yoav, so we see that he did have a son!? **A:** Rather, Dovid left over a son who was a tzaddik like himself (i.e. Shlomo), but Yoav did not, and that is why his death was a true "misah".
  - **R' Pinchas bar Chama** darshened a pasuk in lyuv to teach that poverty is worse for a person than 50 makos.
  - **R' Pinchas bar Chama** darshened a pasuk to teach, that when there is a sick person in one's house, he should go to a Chochom to daven for him.

ZEH HAKLAL KOL HAKODEIM B'NACHALAH...

- **Q: Rami bar Chama** asked, what if a deceased is survived by his father's father and his father's brother – for example if Avrohom and Yishmael survived Esav? Who would come first to inherit him? **A: Rava** said, our Mishna said, a father takes precedence over all his descendants. This would be no different and therefore the father's father would inherit before the father's brothers.
  - The reason **Rami bar Chama** asked a question that was easily answered from the Mishna is, because of his quickness of mind, he actually meant to ask a different question, and instead asked this. What he meant to ask is what if a deceased is survived by his father's father and his own brother – for example if Avrohom and Yaakov survived Esav? Who would come first to inherit him? **Rava** answered, our Mishna said, a father takes precedence over all his descendants. This would be no different and therefore the father's father would inherit before the deceased's brother.
    - **Rami bar Chama** did not like this answer, because a father takes precedence over his own descendants, not over his son's descendants. We see this from the

fact that the deceased's father would surely take precedence over the deceased's grandfather (even though the father is a descendant of the grandfather).