



Today's Daf In Review is being sent l'zecher nishmas Habachur Yechezkel Shraga A"H ben R' Avrohom Yehuda

### Gittin Daf Samach Aleph

ANI HAMI NAKEF B'ROSH HAZAYIS MAH SHETACHTAV...

- A Braisa says, if the poor person picked up the olives and then put them down, taking those olives from him would be true stealing.
- **R' Kahana** was traveling to Hutzal and he saw a person throwing sticks at a date tree to knock off dates. **R' Kahana** took some of the dates from the ground and ate them. The person told him, "I have picked up those dates and then put them on the ground, so taking them is stealing!" **R' Kahana** said, you must be from the place of **R' Yoshiya**, who teaches the halachos to his people.

EIN MEMACHIN B'YAD ANIYEI NACHRIM B'LEKET...

- A Braisa says, we give tzedaka to poor goyim along with poor Yidden, we visit sick goyim along with sick Yidden, and we bury dead goyim along with dead Yidden, all for the sake of darkei shalom.

MISHNA

- A woman may lend her sifters, her hand mill, and her oven to another woman even if the borrower is suspected of not keeping the halachos of shmitta (i.e. she keeps the produce beyond the time of biur, when she is supposed to get rid of it), but she may not help her in the sorting or grinding process (because that would be helping someone to do an aveirah).
  - The wife of a chaver (who is especially careful regarding maaser and tahara) may lend her sifters to the wife of an ahm haaretz (who is not careful regarding maaser or tahara), and she may even help her in the sorting, grinding, and sifting process, but once water is added to the mixture (at which point it becomes fit to become tamei), she may no longer touch the item, because a person is not allowed to help someone do an aveirah.
  - These leniences were allowed for the sake of darkei shalom.
- One may help a goy who is woking the land during shmitta, but may not help a Yid who is doing so. One may also greet a goy, for purposes of darkei shalom.

GEMARA

- **Q:** Why is it assur to help the woman who is suspected regarding shmitta, but it is not assur to help the woman who is suspected regarding maaser? **A: Abaye** said, this is because *most* amei haaretz do give maaser. The suspicion is therefore only D'Raban, and therefore we may help them. The suspicion regarding shmitta was a D'Oraisa suspicion. **Rava** said, the Mishna is discussing an ahm haaretz according to the definition of **R' Meir** (who says it refers to someone who eats chullin that is tamei), and since it is only a concern D'Rabanan, one is allowed to help him.
  - **Q:** Since the Mishna later says that once water has been mixed in she may no longer help, it would suggest that up until that point we are not dealing with concerns of tumah, which is contrary to **Rava's** explanation!? **A:** Both parts are discussing tumah. However, the earlier part is discussing tumah of chullin, which is only an issue D'Rabanan, and the second part is discussing tumah of Challah, which is an issue D'Oraisa.
    - **Q:** A Braisa says that it is assur to grind for one who eats tamei produce. This is contrary to what **Rava** just said!? **A: Abaye** said, the Braisa is discussing a Kohen who is suspected of eating terumah that is tamei, which is an issur D'Oraisa.

- **Q:** The same Braisa says that one may take his tahor produce and give it to be watched by someone who himself eats his own produce while tamei (and we are not concerned that the person will touch it and make it tamei). Now, according to this last answer that the Braisa is discussing a Kohen, there is a problem, because a Braisa says that one may not give his terumah to be watched by a Kohen ahm haaretz, because he feels a connection to terumah and will likely touch it and make it tamei. If so, why does the first Braisa allow the produce to be given to be watched by a Kohen ahm haaretz? **A: R' Illa** said, the first Braisa is discussing where the terumah was in a sealed earthenware keili, which cannot become tamei by being touched on the outside. That is why it may be given to the Kohen ahm haaretz.

  - **Q:** Why are we not concerned that the Kohen's wife will move the keili while she is a nidah, in which case the keili and its contents would become tamei!? **A: R' Yirmiya** said, the first Braisa is discussing produce that was not "muchshar l'kabel tumah", and the second Braisa is discussing produce that was "muchshar l'kabel tumah".
- **Q:** A Mishna says that if wheat is given to an ahm haaretz to grind, we are not concerned that he switched it for produce for which maaser was not given or for produce of shmitta, but we are concerned that he made the wheat tamei. We see that we must be concerned that he makes it tamei!? **A:** We already answered that the first Braisa was discussing produce that was not muchshar l'kabel tumah! The one who brought this Mishna as a question, meant to use it for another question. This Mishna says we are not concerned that a person switches the produce that he got for produce for which maaser was not given, however there is a Mishna that says that we suspect that a mother in law may switch the produce given to her by her son in law!? To that the Gemara answers, that the Mishna itself gives the reason as being that the mother in law is embarrassed to give her son in law spoiled bread, so if the bread from his produce would spoil she would take from her own and use it. Therefore, it is only in that case that we need to be concerned for switching.

  - **Q:** A Mishna says that we must also be concerned that an innkeeper switches the produce that is given to her!? **A:** That is because the innkeeper wants to give the talmid chochom the freshest bread that she can. Therefore, after a few days she will switch to her produce to make new bread. However, generally there is no concern that an ahm haaretz will switch produce.
  - **Q:** a Braisa says that the wife of an ahm haaretz would take from the produce she is grinding and give it to her friend who is helping her grind. Now, she may not do so, because she is actually stealing from her husband, and yet she does. If she is suspected of stealing, she should surely be suspected of switching produce!? **A:** It is only there that we have this concern because she feels that if the friend is helping her to grind, so she may give her some food. However, with regard to a regular ahm haaretz there is no such concern.