



Today's Daf In Review is being sent l'zecher nishmas Habachur Yechezkel Shraga A"H ben R' Avrohom Yehuda

Nazir Daf Lamed Ches

- **R' Elazar** said, there are 10 cases in which the determining factor is the revi'is measurement – 5 regarding red liquids (wine and blood) and 5 regarding clear liquids (water and oil). They are as follows: the amount of wine a nazir must drink to be chayuv; the amount of wine needed for each of the 4 cups of wine on Pesach; one may not pasken after drinking a revi'is of wine; one may not enter the Beis Hamikdash after drinking a revi'is of wine; a combined revi'is of blood from two meisim can transmit tumah via tumas ohel; a revi'is of oil is needed for certain of the bread offerings of a Todah; a revi'is of oil is needed for the breads of the korbon of a nazir; a revi'is of water is needed for the tahara process of a metzora; a person becomes tamei if he drinks a revi'is of tamei liquids; one would be chayuv for carrying on Shabbos if he carries most liquids in the amount of a revi'is into the reshus harabim.
 - **Q:** There are other cases of revi'is as well, such as the halacha that a cup that has a revi'is of water may be used for netilas yadayim, even for two people!? **A:** That case is a matter of machlokes, and the list was meant to include only cases that are not a matter of machlokes.
 - **Q:** A Mishna which discusses the sotah process says that **R' Yehuda** says they would fill a keili with a revi'is of water!? **A:** That too is a matter of machlokes.
 - **Q:** A Braisa says that **R' Zakai** says that if one puts a revi'is of water into urine he may daven next to that urine!? **A:** That too is a matter of machlokes.
 - **Q:** There is the case of mikveh which D'Oraisa is enough to be toivel keilim if it has a revi'is of water!? **A:** The **Rabanan** abolished that halacha and required the mikveh to have 40 se'ah even for keilim. The list does not contain halachos that were abolished by the **Rabanan**.

V'EINO CHAYUV ELAH AHD SHEYOCHAL MIN HA'ANAVIM KEZAYIS...

- The **T"K** (the Mishna Rishona) does not compare the eating issurim to the drinking issurim and therefore says the drinking issurim have a different minimum measurement. **R' Akiva** says that the pasuk uses the "vuv" (and) by saying "va'anavim" which combines the halacha of the eating and drinking issurim and teaches that they both have the same minimum measurement (a kezayis).

V'CHAYUV AHL HAYAYIN BIFNEI ATZMO...

- A Braisa says, the pasuk says "va'anavim lachim viveishim lo yochail" (he may not eat grapes or raisins), which teaches that he is chayuv separately for eating grapes and for eating raisins. From here we learn that just as these are truly the same item but have different names and he is therefore chayuv for each one separately, the same is everywhere else in the Torah as well. The Braisa means to teach that the nazir would also be chayuv separately for eating grapes and drinking grape juice.
- **Abaye** said, if a nazir ate grape pits he would be chayuv 2 sets of malkus (one for eating pits which are specifically stated in the pasuk and one for the general lav of eating "anything that comes from the vine"). The same would be if he ate grape peels. If he ate pits and peels he would get 3 sets of malkus. **Rava** said, he would only get one set (in the first two cases), because one does not get malkus for a general lav.
 - **Q: R' Pappa** asked, a Braisa says that **R' Eliezer** says, if a nazir ate grapes, raisins, grape pits, grape peels and drank grape juice he would get 5 sets of malkus. According to **Abaye** he should get 6 sets (because he gets one for the general lav)!? **A:** The Braisa doesn't list all the lavim he would be oiver. In fact, he would get malkus for the general lav. The Braisa left out another lav that he would get a 7th set of malkus for as well – the lav of "lo yacheil devaro" (not keeping his promise).

- **Q:** The lav of “lo yacheil” is not considered to be left out, because it is not a lav unique to nazir!? **A: Ravina from Prazakya** said to **R’ Ashi**, the Braisa left out the lav he would be oiver for eating the meat of the fruit as well.
 - **Q:** Based on this it would seem that the Braisa left the malkus for the general lav off the list, but would agree that he would be subject to it. This is problematic according to **Rava!**? **A: R’ Pappa** said, the Braisa never gave the number of malkus he would be subject to (it never said there would be 5 malkus, only that there would be multiple sets of malkus). **R’ Pappa** earlier said that the Braisa said there were 5 sets of malkus only because he wanted **Abaye** to feel that he was being questioned by a Braisa and would then back off his statement. When he saw that **Abaye** did not back off his statement he realized that **Abaye’s** statement was based on something he heard from his rabbei’im.

R’ ELAZAR BEN AZARYA OMER...

- **R’ Yosef** said, the way the Targum defines the words “chartzanim” and “zag” follows the view of **R’ Yose**, who says that chartzanim refer to the pits and zag to the peel.