



Today's Daf In Review is being sent l'zecher nishmas Habachur Yechezkel Shraga A"H ben R' Avrohom Yehuda

Nedarim Daf Nun Ches

- The Gemara is trying to answer **Yishamel's** question, whether an onion of shmitta which was replanted after shmitta and whose post-shmitta growth exceeded the size of the shmitta growth, is considered to be mutar and not to be of shmitta. The Gemara says we can answer this from a Braisa. In the Braisa, **R' Shimon** says, forbidden items that can become mutar ("davar sheyeish lo matirin") never become batul in a mixture, no matter how minute the assur item is. However, items that can never become mutar, the **Rabanan** gave ratios in which they become mutar in a mixture. The **Chachomim** asked **R' Shimon**, the issur of shmitta can never become mutar and yet the **Rabanan** did not give a ratio in which it becomes batul!? **R' Shimon** answered, that it doesn't become batul only before the time that the shmitta item must be brought back into the field (the time of "biur") since it can still be eaten then. However, once that time has passed, and the issur will therefore never leave, it in fact does become batul as long as it does not impart taste into the other items in the mixture. Presumably this would apply when a 6th year onion was replanted and grew more in the 7th year (which is shmitta), and we see that the new growth is not considered part of the 6th year growth, but is rather a new and independent growth. The same should be the case for the 8th year growth of a 7th year onion, and the 8th year growth should not be considered a shmitta onion.
 - The Gemara says, it may be that we only say this way when this logic brings about a chumra (treating part of the 6th year onion as shmitta) but not when it leads to a leniency (treating part of the shmitta onion as an 8th year onion).
- The Gemara says, we can answer **Yishmael's** question from a Mishna. The Mishna says, if a 6th year onion grew leaves in shmitta (and we don't know if they received their nutrients from the soil and are assur, or if they got their nutrients from the onion and are mutar), if the leaves are dark they are assur and if they are light, they are mutar. **R' Chanina ben Antignas** says, if they are strong enough to use to pull up the onion, they are assur, and if they are not, they are mutar. The Mishna says that the same would be regarding a shmitta onion that grew leaves in the 8th year. We see from here that post shmitta growth is not considered part of the shmitta produce.
 - The Gemara says, it may be that the Mishna only applies to crushed onions, which are not themselves significant enough to give status to the new growth. However, if they were whole onions, it may be that the leaves would take on the status of the onion itself.
- The Gemara says, we can answer **Yishmael's** question from a Braisa. The Braisa says, **R' Shimon ben Elazar** says, if after shmitta one gets an onion from another Yid who is someone who is not trusted regarding shmitta, the onion is mutar. This must be because the post-shmitta growth is not considered to be assur as shmitta.
 - The Gemara says, it may be that we only say this when the item is something whose seed is destroyed when it grows.
 - **Q:** The Braisa discussed when the items was "chasayos" (which are onions and the like) whose seeds aren't destroyed when they grow!? **A:** It may be that the Braisa is discussing crushed onions, and that is why it doesn't take on the status of the shmitta produce.
 - **Q:** The Braisa was discussing one who is not trusted regarding shmitta, and who therefore would not deliberately crush the onions to try and make them mutar (he does not care about shmitta altogether)!? **A:** The Braisa may be talking about a case where the onions were placed into a mixture of other mutar items, and as such becomes batul in the mixture.

- **Q:** The Gemara asks, the Braisa talks about whether one who is pruning may eat these onions while he is pruning. In that case there is no chance for it to become mixed with other items. Therefore, the Braisa can't be said to only be discussing a case of a mixture! Therefore, there is a proof from the Braisa that the 8th year growth does not become assur because of the 7th year growth, and may even make the issur to become batul.
- **Q:** Now that we have a proof from the Braisa, should we say that this Braisa refutes the views of **R' Yochanan and R' Yonason**, who said that the 8th year growth is assur based on the 7th year onion? **A: R' Yitzchak** said, the issur of shmitta is different in that the issur comes about through the growth from the ground, therefore, it also becomes batul through the growth of the ground (in the 8th year).
- **Q:** Maaser is an issur that comes from the ground (from growing in Eretz Yisrael) and yet a Braisa says that it does not become batul if it is replanted and grows in shmitta (when there is no maaser obligation)!? **A:** With regard to maaser, the obligation does not take effect until the produce is completed and piled. As such, it is not simply the ground that causes the obligation, and therefore the ground cannot remove the obligation.