



Today's Daf In Review is being sent l'zecher nishmas Habachur Yechezkel Shraga A"H ben R' Avrohom Yehuda

### Shabbos Daf Daled

- **R' Bivi bar Abaye** asked (quoted earlier in the Gemara), if one forgot and put bread to bake on the oven wall on Shabbos, may he remove it before it bakes to save him from a chiyuv Chatas (a D'Oraisa), although that would make him be oiver an issur D'Rabanan?
  - **Q: R' Acha bar Abaye** asked **Ravina**, what case are we talking about? If he was a shogeg and didn't realize until after the bread was baked, then he can't remove it before it gets baked, because he is unaware of it?! If he is discussing where he remembered after he placed it in the oven but before it was baked, he wouldn't be chayuv a Chatas even if it fully baked, because a Mishna teaches that one is not chayuv a Chatas unless he is unaware of the issur at the beginning and at the end, and here he is aware of the issur at the end?! If **R' Bivi** is discussing a case where the person did this on purpose, then he should ask whether it is permitted to remove the bread to save him from a chiyuv Skila, not a Chatas?! **A: R' Shila** said, he is discussing a case of shogeg, and the question was whether we allow someone *else*, who sees that the bread is baking, to pull it out of the oven to save the shogeg person from becoming chayuv to bring a Chatas.
    - **Q: R' Sheishes** asked, we would not tell someone to be oiver an aveirah D'Rabanan to save another person from a Chatas!
    - **R' Ashi** said, **R' Bivi** is discussing someone who put the bread in b'meid, and **R' Bivi** actually asked whether he can be saved from Skila by removing the bread and being oiver a D'Rabanan.

### PUSHAT HE'ANI ES YADO

- **Q:** Why is the ani chayuv for placing something into the hand of the B"HB? An akira and a hanach must be from/onto a place that is at least 4x4 tefachim and a hand is not 4x4 tefachim?! **A: Rabba** said, our Mishna follows **R' Akiva** who says that passing through the airspace is as if something has landed (when one throws something through the R"HR it is as if it has landed in R"HR), and so placing into the hand of the B"HB is like placing it into the R"HY.
  - Although we find that **Rabba** was unsure if this was what **R' Akiva** held, we can say that later on he was certain.
  - **Q:** From **R' Akiva** we only see that a hanacha does not need to be on an area of 4x4 tefachim, but our Mishna clearly suggests that an akira does not need to be from an area of 4x4 tefachim place either?! **A: R' Yosef** said, our Mishna follows the view of **Rebbi**, who says that an akira *and* the hanacha do not need to be on/from a place which is 4x4 tefachim.
    - **Q:** Where do we find that **Rebbi** said this? It can't be from where **Rebbi** said that if someone throws something that lands onto a tree branch that is protruding into the R"HR that he is chayuv although the branch is not 4x4, because **Rebbi** says that the branch *is* considered to be a place of 4x4 based on the fact that it is part of the tree which is surely 4x4 tefachim! It also can't be from where **Rebbi** says that if one throws an object from one R"HR to another R"HR and there is a R"HY in the middle, he is chayuv two Chataos (it is considered to be a hanacha in the R"HY and then an immediate akira), because **Rav and Shmuel** explain that **Rebbi** only holds that way where the R"HY is a roofed structure (we view such a structure as if it is filled up in its entirety and therefore something that passes through is viewed as if it landed there), but in a R"HR which would lose its status if it was roofed, he would not hold that way. So we cannot say that **Rebbi** is the Tanna of our Mishna who holds that we don't need 4x4 even in a R"HR!? If so, who is the Tanna of our Mishna!?